

ITAT: Compensation for giving up the "right to sue" is not considered a taxable capital gain

*Virendra Bhavanji Gala [TS-505-ITAT-2023(Mum)]
Sep 11, 2023*

CA Om Rajpurohit & Adv. Sakshi Bhardwaj
-AMRG & ASSOCIATES



Facts of the case

The assessee received compensation due to a breach of contract by a builder. The dispute centered around whether this compensation should be subject to capital gains tax or not.

Assessee's contention

The assessee argued that the compensation received for the breach of contract should not be considered a capital receipt but rather as a capital asset. This argument was based on the specific circumstances of the case, where specific performance of the contract was not possible due to the project being aborted. The assessee contended that the compensation was not for the right to sue but rather for the loss incurred due to the breach.

Revenue's contention

The revenue, represented by the Principal Commissioner of Income Tax (PCIT), contended that the compensation constituted consideration for the right to sue and should be treated as taxable capital gains. The PCIT relied on the judgment of M/s. Vijay Flexible Containers to support this position, emphasizing that the compensation was essentially for the right to initiate legal proceedings.

Held

The court held that the compensation received by the assessee for the breach of contract should not be subject to capital gains tax. The judgment considered the specific circumstances of the case and the legal arguments presented. It emphasized that the compensation was not

not in exchange for the right to sue but rather for the loss incurred due to the breach of contract. The court also cited various High Court judgments, including CIT vs. Dalmia and Baroda Cement & Chemicals Ltd. vs. CIT, to support the position that damages received for the right to sue for damages are capital receipts and not taxable under capital gains. The PCIT's order invoking Section 263 was set aside, and the AO's assessment order was upheld. Consequently, the appeal of the assessee was allowed.

AMRG Take

This case highlights the importance of considering the specific circumstances and legal arguments when determining the taxability of compensation for contract breaches. It clarifies that compensation for the right to sue for damages may not be treated as taxable capital gains, especially when specific performance of the contract is not feasible. The case also underscores the relevance of past High Court judgments in shaping tax liability decisions.



© AMRG & ASSOCIATES, 2023

Published in India. All Rights Reserved. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise without prior permission, in writing, from the publisher. This publication contains information in summary form and is therefore intended for general guidance only. It is not intended to be a substitute for detailed research or the exercise of professional judgment. Neither AMRG nor any other member of the firm can accept any responsibility for loss occasioned to any person acting or refraining from action as a result of any material in this publication. The information contained in this communication is intended solely for the use of the addressee and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by phone, fax or email and delete it from your system. A M R G & Associates is neither liable for the proper and complete transmission of the information contained in this communication nor for any delay in its receipt. In case you wish not to receive this information then do write back to the sender of this information, in case you have received it directly from AMRG servers then you can unsubscribe the same at www.amrg.in



NEW DELHI

AMRG Tower, 23,
Paschim Vihar Ext.,
Main Rohtak Road,
New Delhi-110063

Rajat Mohan
Senior Partner
rajat@amrg.in

Priyanka Sachdeva
Partner - GST
priyanka@amrg.in



MUMBAI

304, Green Meadows C
H S Ltd, Lokhandwala
Township, Kandivali,
East Mumbai, 400101

Madhu Mohan
Founding Partner
amrg@amrg.in

Kiran Awasthi Raghavendra
Partner - Assurance and Compliance
amrg@amrg.in



DEHRADUN

Villa No. 12, Upper Crest Avenue,
Jakhan, Rajpur Road, Dehradun,
Uttarakhand, India, 248001

Swati Ghoshal
Partner - Risk Advisory and compliance
swati@amrg.in



GURUGRAM,
204, 2nd Floor, Time Center, Golf
Course Road, Sector 54, Gurugram,
Haryana 122002

Gaurav Mohan
CEO
gaurav@amrg.in



INTERNATIONAL BRANCH - AUSTRALIA

Unit 9, 14-15 Junia Avenue,
Toongabbie NSW 2146,
Sydney, Australia

Megha Gandhi
Director- Australia
amrg@amrg.in



INTERNATIONAL DESK - USA

Wiener & Garg LLC,
6000 Executive Boulevard,
Suite 520 | Rockville,
MD 20852T: 301.881.4244
D: 240.833.4002

Subhash Garg
Wiener & Garg LLC
amrg@amrg.in



INTERNATIONAL BRANCH HONG KONG

Hong Kong Address - Flat B,
Floor 1, Tower - 7, Yee Mei Court,
South Horizons, ap lei chau,
Hongkong

Divya Malhotra
Director - Hong Kong
amrg@amrg.in